

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/618,960 07/14/2003 John E. Thompson 10739.18.64 6406

> 7590 07/28/2004

Craig F. Taylor Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 4000 Pillsbury Center 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-1425

EXAMINER SWINEHART, EDWIN L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3617

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	51
Office Action Summary		10/618,960		THOMPSON, JOHN E.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Ed Swineha		3617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
•	, —	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 18-25 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Dat Date of Informal Pa Date of Informal Pa Date of Informal Pa		)-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/618,960

Art Unit: 3617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3,8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koyanagi.

Koyanagi illustrates the claimed dimensional relationship of footwell height to width. The inward footwell sidewall angle is greater than 8 degrees as claimed.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-7,11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyanagi.

Koyanagi fails to specifically mention the width of the footwell, however the sizing of such to about six inches is considered to have been an obvious design consideration, well within the level of skill of the ordinary routineer working in the art at the time of the invention. Likewise, assuming the footwell width is six inches or greater, the outside wall will be sized as claimed.

The current inside wall angle of Koyanagi is already approximately 9 degrees, to angle it slightly greater than this would be considered an obvious design consideration, providing no unexpected results.

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagata.
Nagata discloses a bottom to top width ratio of approximately 2 to 1. Since sizing of footwell bottom width of around 6 inches as noted above is considered to have been

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to size the footwell bottom of Nagata to slightly over 6 inches, as such would provided sufficient size for a foot, and again provide no unexpected results. Such would result in the top width as claimed.

6. Claims 18-25 are allowed.

obvious, such applies here as well.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ed Swinehart whose telephone number is 703-308-2566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30 am to 2:00 pm..
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,960

Art Unit: 3617

Page 4

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ed Swinehart Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617